., Attorney Docket No. 107773-134984

TFU

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AUG 0 9 200

In Application of:

Kurt Stahl, et al.

Application No.: 10/696,297

Filed: October 28, 2003

Priority Date: March 23, 2000

For: Color Video Projection System

Employing Reflective Liquid Crystal

Display Devices

Examiner: Dung T. Nguyen

Art Unit: 2871

Confirmation No.: 5115

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this date: 08/04/2004

Typed or Printed: Heather L Adamson

Signature: (V / 15 / 1004 / 10

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

This communication is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed May 4, 2004 (hereinafter "Office Action"). Reconsideration of the above captioned application (hereinafter "Application") in view of the amendments and remarks to follow is respectfully requested.

Claim 33 is currently amended to correct for a previously undetected grammatical error.

Summary of the Office Action begins on page 2 of this paper.

Amendments to the claims are reflected in the listing of claims beginning on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 6 of this paper.

Summary

In the Office Action the specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 as introducing new matter; claims 40-42 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement; claims 33-39, 43, 45-48, 50-51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kasama, et al., US Patent No. 5,577,826 (hereinafter "Kasama"); and claims 44 and 52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.